

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,590	11/10/2005	Stephen Robert Tennison	MAST-6-PCT	2907
22434 7590 06/14/2007 BEYER WEAVER LLP P.O. BOX 70250			EXAMINER	
			MILLER, DANIEL H	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/551,590	TENNISON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel Miller	1775				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 M	IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. r period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR y statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1 <u>0 November 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-59</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>35-59</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority doct	uments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in A	Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of th	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International I						
* See the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	n □	Summan (DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 	948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 35-51, drawn to a method of making a shaped porous material.

Group II, claim(s) 52-59, drawn to a shaped porous material.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Independent claim 52 (group II) requires the secondary component to be one of or a mixture of a particular Markush group while independent method claim 35 (of group I) does not specify what the secondary component comprises. Therefore, the groups do not share a single inventive concept.
- 3. A telephone call was made to the attorney of record on 5/16/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-1534. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,590 Page 4

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel Miller

JENNIFER C. MCNEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER